

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/651,854		Applicant(s) MORAN, DOUGLAS B	
	Examiner Matthew Heneghan		Art Unit 2134	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(2) William James.

Date of Interview: 1 March 2004

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
21,22,25-28

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00 PM EST

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner communicated that all art objections had been overcome, but asked for a terminal disclaimer with respect to U.S. Patent No. 6,826,697. Attorney James stated that one had been mailed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)